

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE FOR WOMEN

SECTOR 26, CHANDIGARH - 160019

(Affiliated to Panjab University Chandigarh)





103.ANALYSIS OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRACTICES IN INDUSTRY WITH REFERENCE TO SIZE AND PERIOD

Analysis of Corporate Governance Practices in Industry with reference to Size and Period

Manjit Kaur Sidhu¹

study endeavors to analyze the corporate governance practices followed by the sample e present study endeavors to analyze corporate governance mechanisms on the basis of industry sector, empt has been made to analyze corporate governance mechanisms on the basis of industry sector, riod. Parametric tests viz. Independent sample t-test, and paired t-test; and non-parametric test viz. riod. Parametric tests viz. Independent sample t-test, and paired t-test; and non-parametric test viz. test, and Wilcoxon matched signed rank test have been employed to analyse the corporate governance. st, and Wilcoxon triatched signed.

Sometimes for the period 2012-16. The results revealed that there is significant variation in the corporation of the period 2012-16. or companies for the period so.

ariables across industry sectors as well as over time period. Further examination reveals that large of arge boards, less CEO duality, active independent directors, more number of board committees and more

I. INTRODUCTION

The corporate governance aims to confiscate the conflict of interests between majority of managers and stockholders and minority stockholders which results in the diminution of the agency's costs. Corporate governance encompasses procedures for board effectiveness and enhanced transparent disclosures because the board of directors and information disclosure complement each other in reducing agency problems (Healy and Palepu, 2001; Jackson, 2013) and thus results in improved quality and quantity of information made available to investors (Prasanna and Menon, 2012). A policy of good corporate governance is beneficial for timely disclosure of information, preventing insider trading, and communicating efficient market prices (Jackson, 2013).

The amount of disclosure of private information is positively related to corporate governance, an indication that better corporate governance leads to more disclosure (Beekes and Brown, 2006). The corporate governance system is in fact barriers, levers and balances within and outside the organization which guarantees that they do their responsibility toward all the beneficiaries and are responsibly performing in all areas of commercial activities (Hasas, 2006).

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE
Klapper and Love (2004) found that better corporate governance is highly correlated with better operating performance and market valuation by utilizing data from a recent report by Credit Lyonnais Securities Asia (CLSA) on firm-level corporate governance rankings across 25 emerging markets and 18 sectors for 495 firms. They posit that countries with weaker legal systems have average lower firm level governance. Durnev and Kim (2005) assessed whether market value of firm is predicted by governance decisions by studying 859 firms from 27 countries. It was put forth that valuation of firm, as proxied by Tobin's Q, is predicted positively with higher corporate governance scores. They argued that the strength of legal protection of investors helps in reducing wide within-country variation in corporate governance as well as disclosure

practices. Berry et al. (2006) examined how board so (including board independence and venture capitalist representation), CEO ownership, VC ownership, CEO opay, and unaffiliated block holdings change for up to 11 after the IPO. It was contended that board independent the proportion of board seats held by VC's increase at ownership declines. Black et al. (2006) studied several of dimensions of governance including regulation, share rights, board structure, board procedures, disclosure para and ownership structure at Korean firms. It was observed. that corporate governance practices are strongly that corporate governance practices are strongly that by regulatory considerations, particularly for large because they are subject to more stringent rules furth was documented that larger and riskier firms tend to be

Chen et al. (2006) put forth that the proportion of outrectors, the number of board meetings, and the text the board chair are associated with the incidence of the Bhagat and Bolton (2008) found that better governindes, stock ownership of board members, and CEO/separation is significantly positively correlated with contemporaneous and subsequent operating performance on the valuation of firms in a part on over 2300 firms for the period 2003 through 2005 a total of 6134 firm-year observations of countries. It was that despite the costs associated with improving opgovernance at the firm level, many firms choose to a governance provisions beyond those that are adopted firms in the country, and that these improvements in congovernance are positively associated with firm valuation (2009) concluded that liquidity as well as cash relative of credit is high of firms having strong internal governance. Al. (2012) documented that increased corporate governance impact on small firms as compared to the firms with weak internal governate of the province of the provinc

Manjit Kaur Sidhu, PhD, Professor, Post Graduate Government College, Sector-11, Chandigarh, India

UBIT / Volume 11 / Issue 2 / April - September 2018 | 30

Introder Kaux Guru Gobind Singh College For Women Sector 26, Chandigarh

Phone: 0172-2792757, 2791610, Website: www.ggscw.ac.in, Email: principalggscw@yahoo.in, principal@ggscw.ac.in